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Abstract

Objective: The ongoing REDUCE trial is a 4-yr, phase 3, placebo-controlled study to determine if daily dutasteride 0.5 mg reduces the risk of biopsy detectable prostate cancer. Prostate biopsies performed in all men prior to entry were centrally reviewed, thus allowing an examination of the relationship between inflammatory changes and lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS).

Methods: Eligible men were aged 50–75 yr, with serum prostate-specific antigen ≥2.5 ng/ml and ≤10 ng/ml (50–60 yr), or ≥3.0 ng/ml and ≤10 ng/ml (>60 yr) and an International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS) < 25 (or < 20 if already on alpha-blocker therapy). Acute prostatitis was an exclusion criterion. For a given individual, inflammation was assessed across all cores and the amount of inflammation scored as none (0), mild (1), moderate (2), or marked (3). LUTS was assessed with the use of the IPSS. The relationship between inflammation scores (averaged over all cores) and total IPSS; grouped IPSS (0–3, 4–7, 8–11, 12–15, 16–19, >20); and irritative, obstructive, and nocturia subscores was determined by Spearman rank correlations. The relative contribution of inflammation, age, and body mass index was then examined with the use of linear regression analyses.

Results: Data were available for 8224 men. Statistically significant but relatively weak correlations were found between average and maximum chronic inflammation and IPSS variables (correlation coefficients, 0.057 and 0.036, respectively; p < 0.001 for total IPSS). Both age and average chronic inflammation were significant in the linear regression after adjustment for other covariates; for both variables, more severe inflammation was associated with higher IPSS scores.

Conclusions: In the REDUCE population, there is evidence of a relationship between the degree of LUTS and the degree of chronic inflammation. Study entry criteria that selected older men and decreased enrolment of men with a greater degree of inflammation and LUTS may have limited the strength of this relationship. The impact of baseline prostate inflammation on progression of LUTS and/or associated complications will be determined during 4-yr longitudinal follow-up.
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1. Introduction

It was suggested a number of years ago that prostate inflammation may be the third component (the first two being dihydrotestosterone-mediated “static” prostate enlargement and “dynamic” alpha-receptor-mediated muscle tension) in determining the association between benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH) and lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) [1]. There has been a renewed interest in examining the role of histological inflammation in the pathogenesis and progression of BPH. Evolving basic science data suggest that asymptomatic prostatic inflammation is associated with the development of histological BPH [2,3].

Inflammation detected in prostate biopsies performed at baseline assessment in a subgroup of over 1000 patients enrolled in the Medical Therapies of Prostate Symptoms (MTOPS) study predicted progression events such as symptom worsening, acute urinary retention, and need for surgery in placebo-treated patients [4].

REDUCE (REduction by DUtasteride of prostate Cancer Events) is an ongoing, large-scale, 4-yr clinical trial designed to determine if and to what extent the dual 5α-reductase inhibitor dutasteride reduces the risk of biopsy detectable prostate cancer compared with placebo in men at increased risk of developing prostate cancer [4]. Entry criteria for REDUCE included men aged 50–75 yr with a serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) >2.5 ng/ml and ≤10 ng/ml (50–60 yr), or >3.0 ng/ml and ≤10 ng/ml (>60 yr) and a negative prostate biopsy within 6 mo prior to enrolment. Key exclusion criteria included a prostate volume >80 ml, an IPSS >21 (or >20 if already on alpha-blocker therapy for BPH), concurrent and/or previous use within the past 12 mo of a 5α-reductase inhibitor, and an investigator-determined diagnosis of acute prostatitis or acute bacterial prostatitis within 6 mo of study entry.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study conduct

REDUCE is a 4-yr, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study evaluating the efficacy and safety of once-daily 0.5-mg dutasteride in reducing the risk of biopsy detectable prostate cancer in men at increased risk of developing prostate cancer [4].

2.2. Study assessments

The IPSS was used to assess LUTS. The first seven items quantitatively measure irritative (frequency, urgency, and nocturia) and obstructive symptoms (incomplete emptying, intermittency, weak stream, and straining); each question is scored from 0–5, giving a maximum score of 35 [6]. A supplemental item (Q8) assesses bother on a scale of 0–6. A central pathology laboratory (Bostwick Laboratories, Richmond, VA, USA) graded average acute and chronic inflammation on a 4-point scale (none [0], mild [1], moderate [2], or severe [3]) on the basis of average cell density and extent of tissue involvement in each biopsy core (Fig. 1). Chronic inflammation consisted chiefly of lymphocytes with a variable number of plasma cells, macrophages, and, rarely, eosinophils. Acute inflammation consisted of neutrophils. Mild inflammation was defined as less than one microscopic field of acute inflammatory cells per high-powered field. Mild inflammation was defined as less than one microscopic field of acute inflammatory cells per high-powered field.

Fig. 1 – Of the 15.4% of men who had acute inflammation at baseline, 97.9% had mild, 1.9% had moderate, and 0.2% had severe (not shown in figure) inflammation. Of the 77.6% who had chronic inflammation, 89.0% had mild, 10.7% had moderate, and 0.3% had severe (not shown in figure) inflammation.
inflammation was defined as small scattered or patchy aggregates and the presence of nests of inflammatory cells, usually no more than 10–15 cells per nest. Moderate inflammation was characterised by larger aggregates and nests, usually more than about 15 cells, invariably multifocal. Moderate inflammation was noticeable at low magnification. Sheets of inflammatory cells or extensive multifocal confluent masses of cells were noted in severe inflammation, which was obvious and noticeable at any magnification. Additional criterion for severe acute inflammation was tissue destruction of any size.

2.3. Data analysis

Baseline demographic variables and IPSS were summarised for men with inflammation (grade 1, 2, or 3) versus without (grade 0). Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to determine differences by presence versus absence of maximum chronic inflammation for total IPSS, grouped IPSS (0–3, 4–7, 8–11, 12–15, 16–19, >20); and irritative, obstructive, and nocturia subscores. Spearman rank correlations (range between −1 and +1) determined the relationship between inflammation scores (averaged overall cores) and the various IPSS measures. Acute and chronic inflammation were analysed independently because each subject had a chronic inflammation value and an acute inflammation value. p values for correlations were interpreted with the use of the Bonferroni approach to adjust for multiple comparisons (5 different IPSS measures for both acute and chronic inflammation resulted in 10 comparisons, hence the p value cut off = 0.05/10 = 0.005). Linear regression was used to examine the relative contribution of inflammation, age, and body mass index (BMI) to BPH symptoms. All analyses were performed with the use of SAS® version 8.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Baseline characteristics

Baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. Data were available for 8224 men (median, 9 cores per subject). At baseline 15.4% had acute inflammation, 77.6% had chronic inflammation, and 21.6% had no inflammation. For those men with acute inflammation, 97.9% had mild, 1.9% had moderate, and 0.2% had severe inflammation. For those with chronic inflammation, the values were 89.0%, 10.7%, and 0.3%, respectively. Minor differences in age, serum PSA, and prostate volume were observed between men with and without histological inflammation.

3.2. Histological inflammation and the IPSS

Total IPSS score and subscores were higher in the group of patients with histological chronic inflammation at baseline compared with those with no chronic inflammation. The differences were small but statistically significant (Table 1). Statistically significant correlations were found between average chronic inflammation score and the IPSS variables (Table 2). However, the magnitude of these correlations was small, indicating very weak associations. No statistically significant associations were found between average acute inflammation and any IPSS variable examined (Table 2). Correlations between both acute and chronic inflammation and the IPSS variables examined were also performed with the use of maximum inflammation score (maximum of all cores). Correlation between maximum acute and chronic inflammation, and IPSS and subscores are presented in Table 3.

In the regression analysis that included age, BMI, and average acute and chronic inflammation, higher values of age and average chronic inflammation were significantly associated with higher IPSS scores (p < 0.0001 and p = 0.0005, respectively). The contributions of BMI and average acute inflammation to the relationship were not statistically significant.

Table 1 – Baseline patient characteristics and International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS) score and subscores by maximum chronic inflammation grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total population</th>
<th>Maximum chronic inflammation</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (grade 1, 2, or 3)</td>
<td>No (grade 0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
<td>62.8 (6.1)</td>
<td>62.9 (6.0)</td>
<td>62.3 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA level, ng/ml</td>
<td>5.9 (2.0)</td>
<td>5.9 (2.0)</td>
<td>6.0 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate volume, cc</td>
<td>45.8 (18.8)</td>
<td>46.5 (19.0)</td>
<td>43.4 (17.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average acute inflammation</td>
<td>0.06 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.20)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average chronic inflammation</td>
<td>0.47 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.38)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total IPSS score</td>
<td>8.7 (5.7)</td>
<td>8.8 (5.7)</td>
<td>8.2 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritative subscore</td>
<td>4.3 (2.8)</td>
<td>4.3 (2.8)</td>
<td>4.1 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstructive subscore</td>
<td>4.4 (3.7)</td>
<td>4.4 (3.7)</td>
<td>4.2 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocturia subscore</td>
<td>1.6 (1.2)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.2)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values are mean (±SD).
4. Discussion

REDUCE has provided the largest body of data (over 8000 men) to date, which examine relationships between histological prostate inflammation and prostate-related symptoms. An initial evaluation of a subset of the REDUCE population (5597 men), who completed a validated prostatitis questionnaire, failed to demonstrate a clinically meaningful association between baseline prostate inflammation and prostatitis-like symptoms [7]. In that study, the aim was to correlate histological inflammation and prostatitis-like symptoms (with or without LUTS) defined by predetermined prostatitis pain scores. The present study examines the larger number of men who completed the IPSS, and the aim was to correlate histological inflammation with BPH-related LUTS. Given that the mean prostate volume in this cohort was 46 ml and the PSA 5.9 ng/ml, and that the prostate biopsy did not show prostate cancer, it could be predicted that the REDUCE population would be enriched with men with BPH. Chronic histological inflammation was found in more than 77% of men in REDUCE, a prevalence reflecting a BPH population of ageing men [8].

The presented baseline data suggest a weak relationship between the degree of chronic inflammation and LUTS at baseline in the REDUCE population. The number of patients enrolled with acute inflammation was low (15.4%), and of these the majority (97.9%) had mild inflammation. Acute prostatitis or acute bacterial prostatitis within 6 mo of study entry was an exclusion criterion in this study.

What could be the value of diagnosing prostate inflammation, either in a biopsy to rule out prostate cancer or by some yet to be discovered prostate-specific inflammation biomarker? Given the ubiquitous nature of chronic prostate inflammation in ageing men, and the weak correlation found in this study between chronic inflammation and LUTS, the clinical value of diagnosing prostate inflammation in men with LUTS may appear limited. The pattern of inflammation in prostate tissue is not homogeneous; inflammation noted is usually mild and chronic, and chronic prostatitis can coexist in the same individual. However, there is an increasing understanding of the important role that prostate inflammation may have in promoting development of histological BPH (and perhaps prostate cancer) [2,3]. Furthermore, an examination of baseline prostate biopsies in a subgroup of 1197 patients in the Medical Therapies of Prostate Symptoms (MTOPS) study found that men in the placebo arm with inflammation were significantly more likely to experience symptom worsening or acute urinary retention than those without inflammation (5.6% vs. 0.0%, \( p = 0.003 \)) [4], although—similar to the present findings—there were only weak correlations at baseline between

| Table 2 – Correlation coefficients between average acute and chronic inflammation, and International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS) and subscores |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Assessment | Average acute inflammation | Average chronic inflammation |
| | \( n \) | Correlation coefficient | \( p \) value | \( n \) | Correlation coefficient | \( p \) value |
| Total IPSS score | 8151 | \(-0.001\) | 0.91 | 8151 | 0.057 | \(<0.0001\) |
| Grouped IPSS score | 8151 | \(-0.002\) | 0.84 | 8151 | 0.053 | \(<0.0001\) |
| Irritative subscore | 8037 | 0.002 | 0.84 | 8037 | 0.056 | \(<0.0001\) |
| Obstructive subscore | 8014 | \(-0.009\) | 0.42 | 8014 | 0.046 | \(<0.0001\) |
| Nocturia subscore | 8109 | \(-0.002\) | 0.87 | 8109 | 0.040 | 0.0003 |

| Table 3 – Correlation coefficients between maximum acute and chronic inflammation, and International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS) and subscores |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Assessment | Maximum acute inflammation | Maximum chronic inflammation |
| | \( n \) | Correlation coefficient | \( p \) value | \( n \) | Correlation coefficient | \( p \) value |
| Total IPSS score | 8151 | 0.000 | 0.97 | 8151 | 0.036 | 0.0010 |
| Grouped IPSS score | 8151 | \(-0.001\) | 0.92 | 8151 | 0.031 | 0.0055 |
| Irritative subscore | 8037 | 0.003 | 0.79 | 8037 | 0.039 | 0.0004 |
| Obstructive subscore | 8014 | \(-0.008\) | 0.48 | 8014 | 0.026 | 0.0194 |
| Nocturia subscore | 8109 | 0.000 | 0.98 | 8109 | 0.027 | 0.0161 |
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inflammation and signs, symptoms, and markers of LUTS and clinical BPH. The absence of a clinically meaningful relationship between the parameters, however, does not preclude the possibility that such parameters may be strongly correlated with changes over time. The findings from MTOPS indeed suggest that inflammation is a predictor or even a driver of BPH progression. Longitudinal 4-yr follow-up in the REDUCE study may therefore provide further insight into the impact of baseline prostate inflammation on progression of LUTS and/or associated complications.

5. Conclusion

In the REDUCE population, there is evidence of a weak relationship between the degree of LUTS and the degree of chronic inflammation. The impact of baseline prostate inflammation on progression of LUTS and/or associated complications will be determined during 4-yr longitudinal follow-up.
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It is well-known that prostatitis as well as benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) can lead to lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS). Histologic prostate inflammation is common among men with BPH [1]. In those men, the symptoms of prostatitis and BPH are overlapping. Little is known about the factors that distinguish LUTS due to prostatitis from LUTS due to BPH.

In the present study, a weak but significant correlation between the BPH-associated inflammation and LUTS was found [1]. The weakness of the correlation was determined by study entry criteria. In addition, the prostate volume was not included in the multivariate regression analysis. Consequently, it is not quite clear which factor, prostatitis or BPH, correlated more strongly with LUTS. Other studies have shown that the risk of urinary retention due to BPH was significantly greater in men with prostate inflammation than in those without, and the association of transurethral resection of the prostate for retention with the inflammation is stronger than that with prostate weight [2].

Prostatitis associated with BPH can lead to elevation of the serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) level [3]. However, in the present study there was no significant difference in PSA levels of men with and without prostate inflammation [1]. Moreover, the aggressiveness of the prostate inflammation...
tion was not described in the article although its grade is a significant determinant of epithelial disruption and PSA “leakage” to blood flow [3]. Interestingly, some studies have documented that antibacterial therapy can reduce serum PSA levels. This can be helpful in distinguishing benign and malignant conditions [4,5].

In conclusion, BPH-associated prostate inflammation probably can cause significant deterioration of LUTS and also lead to PSA elevation. Antibacterial therapy can be included among the therapeutic methods in the management of BPH. Antibacterial therapy can be provided to men with biopsy-proved prostatitis associated with BPH to reduce the rate of rebiopsy.
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